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Revised on 3/6/07 to reflect the use of an adjusted valuation factor in the state aid computation.

## ESTIMATE OF FISCAL IMPACT - STATE AGENCIES *

FY 2007-08
FY 2008-09

|  | FY 2007-08 |  | FY 2008-09 |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | EXPENDITURES | REVENUE | EXPENDITURES |  |
| GENERAL FUNDS |  |  | $\$ 59,600,000$ |  |
| CASH FUNDS |  |  |  |  |
| FEDERAL FUNDS |  |  |  |  |
| OTHER FUNDS |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL FUNDS |  |  | $\$ 59,600,000$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

*Does not include any impact on political subdivisions. See narrative for political subdivision estimates.
LB 430 reduces the levy limit for school districts from $\$ 1.05$ to $\$ 1.00$ beginning in 2008-09. The levy limit for learning communities is also reduced by $\$ .05$ from $\$ 1.02$ to $\$ .97$. The $\$ .05$ reduction in the levy limit decreases the local effort rate in the state aid formula (TEEOSA) by $\$ .05$ and increases state aid by an estimated $\$ 59.6$ million in 2008-09.

Assuming a 5\% annual increase in valuations, the decrease in levy authority for schools may decrease property taxes levied statewide by up to $\$ 68.9$ million in 2008-09. This is the maximum reduction assuming all school districts would have levied $\$ 1.05$ in 2008-09.

DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES

| REVIEWED BY | William Scheideler | DATE 3/7/07 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| COMMENTS |  |  |
| DEPT. OF EDUCATION - By reducing maximum levies for school districts, LB 430 automatically lowers the Local Effort Rate (LER) |  |  |
| from $\$ 0.95$ to $\$ 0.87$ for school districts in the learning community and lowers the local effort rate from $\$ 0.95$ to $\$ 0.90$ for the remainder |  |  |
| of school districts. Reducing the LER lowered local resources in the TEEOSA aid formula by over $\$ 33$ million for school districts in the |  |  |
| learning community and over $\$ 30$ million in school districts outside the learning community. |  |  |
| If these provisions had been in effect when the FY 2006-07 TEEOSA aid was certified in February of 2006. General fund aid for |  |  |
| TEEOSA would have been approximately $\$ 67$ million higher |  |  |

