PREPARED BY: DATE PREPARED: PHONE: Sandy Sostad February 22, 2013 471-0054

LB 9

Revision: 00

FISCAL NOTE

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ANALYST ESTIMATE

ESTIMATE OF FISCAL IMPACT - STATE AGENCIES (See narrative for political subdivision estimates)							
	FY 2013-14		FY 2014-15				
	EXPENDITURES	REVENUE	EXPENDITURES	REVENUE			
GENERAL FUNDS							
CASH FUNDS							
FEDERAL FUNDS							
OTHER FUNDS							
TOTAL FUNDS							

Any Fiscal Notes received from state agencies and political subdivisions are attached following the Legislative Fiscal Analyst Estimate.

LB 9 changes transportation requirements for students in a learning community. Current law requires school districts in a learning community to provide free transportation to students residing in a learning community who transfer pursuant to open enrollment provisions, qualify for free or reduced-price lunches or add to the socioeconomic diversity of the building attended and live more than one mile from the school of transfer. Free transportation is also to be provided to students who attend a focus or magnet school or program and live more than one mile from the school.

The bill eliminates free transportation for students who transfer pursuant to open enrollment provisions, qualify for free or reduced-price lunches and live more than one mile from the school. These would be students who are not currently required to contribute to the socioeconomic diversity of a school. Children attending magnet schools will also no longer be eligible for free transportation.

The bill will have an unknown fiscal impact in terms of decreased transportation expenditures for school districts in the learning community and possibly state aid (TEEOSA). The number of students participating in open enrollment in the learning community that will be denied free transportation pursuant to the bill are unknown as is the cost of transportation for such students.

The State Department of Education indicates schools in the learning community spent \$3,279,734 for open enrollment transportation in FY2011-12. Based on this information it is assumed there will be decreased expenditures for school districts of something less than this amount in FY2013-14, depending upon the number of students impacted by the transportation changes in the bill.

It is unknown how decreased transportation expenditures by school districts in the learning community will impact future state aid payments beginning in FY2015-16. Any change in state aid is dependent upon how decreased spending for transportation impacts the calculation of basic funding for districts and whether the needs stabilization offsets decreases in basic funding.

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES-STATE BUDGET DIVISION: REVIEW OF AGENCY & POLT. SUB. RESPONSES					
LB: 9	AM:	AGENCY/PO	LT. SUB: Department of Educa	ation	
REVIEWED	BY: Matthew Eash		DATE: 2/25/2013	PHONE: 402-471-4175	
			2011 and 2012 Annual Reports in		
			ty in those years. LB <mark>9</mark> would restr		
			ige costs per student, open enrollm		
			iditures. For the 2011-12 school ye		
\$3.3 million in total Open Enrollment transportation costs. If LB 9 were in effect that year, a 63% decrease in open					
enrollment transportation expenditures would have resulted in a cost savings to member districts of about \$2.1 million. This					
approximate amount would likely be reflected as a reduction in TEEOSA State Aid two years later. LB 9 would not prohibit					
schools from voluntarily paying for extra-geographical transportation, so any such expenditures could offset the maximum					
projected savings. If LB 9 went into effect for the 2013-14 school year, then its potential fiscal impact to TEEOSA State Aid					
would be delayed until FY 2015-16.					

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES-STATE BUDGET DIVISION: REVIEW OF AGENCY & POLT. SUB. RESPONSES					
LB: 9	AM:	AGENCY/POL	LT. SUB:	Learning Communit	y of Douglas/Sarpy Counties
REVIEWED BY: Matthew Eash			DATE: 2/21/2013		PHONE: 402-471-4175
COMMENTS: Concur that there would be little or no fiscal impact to the Learning Community's organizational budget.					

TOTAL.....

LB ⁽¹⁾ 9 FISCAL NOTE (re	evised)							
State Agency OR Political Subdivision Name: (2)	NDE/School Fina	NDE/School Finance & Organization Services						
Prepared by: (3) Inbody, Eret	Date Prepared: (4)	1-23-2013 Ph	one: (5) 1-4320					
ESTIMATE PROV	IDED BY STATE AGENC	Y OR POLITICAL SUBI	DIVISION					
FY	FY 2013-2014 FY 2014-2015							
EXPENDITURE		EXPENDITURES						
GENERAL FUNDS	<u> </u>							
CASH FUNDS								
FEDERAL FUNDS								
OTHER FUNDS								
TOTAL FUNDS	_							
Passage of this bill would eliminate two of students living in a learning community. Currently, schools in a learning community community if: Student qualifies for free or reduce. Student would contribute to the science. Student lives more than one mile for student is attending a focus school or program. Student attends a magnet school or program.	r are required to provide fr ed price lunches ocioeconomic diversity of t from the school to which the I or program and lives mor	ee transportation to stud the enrollment at the sch ne student is transferring re than one mile from the	dents residing in a learning					
	OR OBJECTS OF EXPEN	DITURE						
Personal Services: POSITION TITLE	NUMBER OF POSITIONS 13-14 14-15	2013-2014 EXPENDITURES	2014-2015 EXPENDITURES					
Benefits	<u> </u>	-						
Operating								
Travel								
Capital outlay								
Aid								
Capital improvements								

If this bill passes, schools in a learning community would be required to provide free transportation to:

- Students residing in a learning community but live more than one mile from the school to which they
 want to transfer and contributes to the socioeconomic diversity of the enrollment at the school
- Students residing in a learning community attend a focus school or program but lives more than one mile from that location.

Fiscal Impact:

The number of students denied transportation by this change is unknown but associated transportation costs would likely decrease. This bill may decrease transportation costs for schools in a learning community which may in turn lower the district needs in the calculation of TEEOSA.

Capital improvements......

TOTAL.....

LB ⁽¹⁾ 9 FISCAL NOTE							
State Agency OR Political Subdivision Name: (2)		Learning Community of Douglas and Sarpy Counties					
Prepared by: (3) Brian Gabrial		Date Prepared: (4) 1/17/13			Phone: (5) 402-964-2198		
ESTIMATE PRO	OVIDED BY S	TATE AGENCY (OR POLITICAL SU	JBDIVISIO	N		
	FY 2013-14			FY 2014-	15		
<u>EXPENDITU</u>		<u>REVENUE</u>	EXPENDITUR		REVENUE		
GENERAL FUNDS							
CASH FUNDS							
FEDERAL FUNDS							
OTHER FUNDS							
TOTAL FUNDS			-				
Return by date specified or 72 hours prior to Explanation of Estimate: LB 9 would have no fiscal impact with re However, it may impact the budget of th they would no longer be required to prov a school district were to change, this wou state aid formula. This would affect not districts of the Learning Community of I Community which would alter the distrib	spect to the bute school districted transportally also likely only the overapouglas and Sapution of state	udget of the Learn acts within the Lea ation to some oper have an impact on all level of equaliza arpy Counties but	rning Community of rning Community of n enrolled students. the amount of fornation aid allocated talso the distributions received through	of Douglas a If the tran nula needs a to the 11 m n of needs v	and Sarpy Counties as sportation budget of as calculated in the ember school vithin the Learning		
Personal Services:	IAJUK UBJEC	15 OF EXPENDI	1 UKE				
POSITION TITLE	NUMBER (<u>13-14</u>	OF POSITIONS 14-15	2013-14 EXPENDITUE	RES	2014-15 EXPENDITURES		
Benefits							
Operating							
Travel	••			<u> </u>			
Capital outlay				<u> </u>			
Aid							