Doug Nichols January 30, 2012 402-471-0052

LB 812

Revision: 00

FISCAL NOTE

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ANALYST ESTIMATE

ESTIMATE OF FISCAL IMPACT – STATE AGENCIES *						
	FY 2012-13		FY 2013-14			
	EXPENDITURES	REVENUE	EXPENDITURES	REVENUE		
GENERAL FUNDS						
CASH FUNDS						
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER FUNDS						
TOTAL FUNDS						

This bill would change garnishment provisions.

It appears that this bill will not have a fiscal impact on the state or political subdivisions.

The Supreme Court notes that this bill would create a workload effect on court procedures and JUSTICE (case management system). However, they estimate no significant fiscal impact.

DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES

REVIEWED BY	Joe Wilcox	1/30/12	PHONE 471-2526				
COMMENTS							
SUPREME COURT – No basis to dispute agency analysis							

^{*}Does not include any impact on political subdivisions. See narrative for political subdivision estimates.

Please complete ALL (5) blanks in the first three lines.

JAN 3 0 2012

2012

LR(1) 812 FISCAL NOTE

Capital improvements..... TOTAL.....

LD 012	FISCAL NOTE	-LGISLATIVE FIG.			
State Agency OR	Political Subdivision Name: ⁽²⁾	Supreme Court	-EGISLATIVE FISCAL		
Prepared by: (3)	Eric Asboe	Date Prepared: (4)	1/26/12	Phone: (5)	1-4138
	ESTIMATE PROV	IDED BY STATE AGEN	NCY OR POLITICAL	SUBDIVISI	ON
	FY 2	FY 2012-2013		FY 2013-2	
	EXPENDITURES		EXPENDITU		REVENUE
GENERAL FUN	DS				
CASH FUNDS					
FEDERAL FUN	DS				
OTHER FUNDS					
TOTAL FUNDS					
	create a workload effect on account for the garnishing	-	·		
		OR OBJECTS OF EXP	ENDITURE		
Personal Services		UMBED OF BOCITION	2010.0010		2012 2014
		UMBER OF POSITIONS 12-13 13-14	2012-2013 <u>EXPENDITU</u>		2013-2014 EXPENDITURES
Benefits					
Operating					
A; d					