PREPARED BY: DATE PREPARED: PHONE: Doug Nichols February 17, 2010 471-0052

**LB 1084** 

Revision: 00

## **FISCAL NOTE**

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ANALYST ESTIMATE

ESTIMATE OF FISCAL IMPACT – STATE AGENCIES *						
	FY 2010-11		FY 2011-12			
_	EXPENDITURES	REVENUE	EXPENDITURES	REVENUE		
GENERAL FUNDS						
CASH FUNDS						
FEDERAL FUNDS						
OTHER FUNDS						
TOTAL FUNDS						

<sup>\*</sup>Does not include any impact on political subdivisions. See narrative for political subdivision estimates.

This bill would provide for seizures of pet animals and equines as prescribed.

There does not appear to be a fiscal impact to the state or political subdivisions from the provisions of this bill. The Attorney General estimates no fiscal impact.

The Supreme Court estimates no significant fiscal impact and their response follows:

No significant fiscal impact on revenue. Although not specified, it is assumed that the hearing mentioned in Section 4 of LB 1084 would be considered a new civil action requiring court costs to be paid. However, the number of hearings is not estimated to be significant so any revenue increase would be minimal.

## DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES

REVIEWED BY	Joe Wilcox	DATE 1/27/10	PHONE 471-2526		
COMMENTS					
ATTORNEY GENERAL – Concur with agency analysis.					