

November 10, 1992 LB 1

air, say if I was an alfalfa dryer,...

SENATOR SCHMIT: Right.

SENATOR HALL: ...as an example, those individuals could very likely see their cap come down dramatically. If they've got 10 employees, 200 bucks a head, they're paying \$2,000 as opposed to 100,000. Although, granted, they are heavy users of energy...

SENATOR SCHMIT: That's right.

SENATOR HALL: ...for that purpose. Is there a...do you have an idea what the fiscal impact will be? I know that's one of the reason you were holding off on the amendment yesterday.

SENATOR SCHMIT: I know Senator Schrock tried to find out what it would be. He says they can't determine it. I really think it would be very small. I doubt very much, Senator, that it would be less than...less than three, four hundred thousand, possibly less.

SENATOR HALL: Okay. What do we...what do we have approximately, and those would be the only people that would be affected.

SENATOR SCHMIT: There are about a dozen of those dehy plants...no, about 20 of those dehy plants, I believe, and Minnesota Corn Processors, heavy energy users. And there may be one or two other entities out there that I'm not aware of at the present time. It would not have any impact upon the ethanol plants, because the one in Hastings, of course, paid a tax in '91 and it would be a refundable situation for them.

SENATOR HALL: What about Nucor, for example?

SENATOR SCHMIT: Nucor, I understand, Senator, that the \$100,000 cap was based upon 500 employees at Nucor, and that it was determined that the \$200 per employee was...

SENATOR HALL: So it effectively is a wash for them.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Right, it would be a wash for them. I felt it was fair for Nucor, might possibly be fair for the other entities.