

September 28, 1992 LB 10

but I do know that, of course, those institutions, the technical community colleges, do make an impact on property tax and are not solely as the university system is dependent on state funds. But I think we ought to look at that, that that will, with the committee amendments, make a different impact on that last column regarding the community colleges. And, Senator Chambers, I do not have a copy of your amendment, so perhaps maybe you could fill me in and the body in. All you are eliminating is that one sentence, the university college or community college will absorb 25 percent. Who, indeed, then will pick up the 25 percent? The piper will pay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Pirsch, the purpose of this is to kill the committee amendments but to generate some discussion which may not have occurred otherwise.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Is your intent that the individual pick up that amount?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes. My overall intent is to support the proposition that would scale the 75 percent down to 50 percent that the state would pay. This amendment that the committee offered, I felt, muddied the water. So I offered my amendment to call attention to an aspect of it that had never been discussed during the public hearing.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Uh-huh.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But my ultimate goal is to try to defeat the entire committee amendment.

SENATOR PIRSCH: And, indeed, then leave that section of law, 85-505, the way it is right now?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'll have to look at what you're referring to.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Well, that would be then that the state will still be picking up 75 percent of the tab, as opposed to the 50 if the committee amendment is passed. And this is maybe a minor portion of our tuition or of our budget crunch but one that I have a little difficulty too in passing it back down to our community colleges. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Pirsch. Before we proceed,