

amendment is the only solution. Without this, LR 1 won't go. Nothing is going to go. So I support this amendment. Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Schellpeper. Senator Labeledz.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to ask Senator Hall a question.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Hall, would you respond please?

SENATOR HALL: Yes.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Senator Hall, before I can make a decision as to whether to support your amendment or not, I'd like to ask you a question. What would happen if the people of this state voted against the resolution, then where will we be?

SENATOR HALL: Well, for tax year 1991, Senator Labeledz, the law of the state will be LB 829. All personal property tax is exempt. Effective January 1, of 1992, in essence, in all practicality, all personal property will be back on the tax rolls. It could be sooner than that, if there was a court order to implement the MAPCO decision. So, I mean, if there would be a case that got up there for the 1991 tax year, that is a potential. Without this, you don't have that protection. What this does is, I think, without any question protect the constitutionality of LB 829.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Thank you, Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Mmm-hmm.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Labeledz. Senator Wickersham.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Senator Hall, would you mind, I have a question.

SENATOR HALL: Yeah.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: As I read the amendment, you are attempting to say that personal property does not have to be taxed uniformly and proportionately with real property?

SENATOR HALL: The way I read it, Senator Wickersham, it says