

from the public's viewpoint, is this perception out there that if everything is put on there's going to be 12, 14, 19, whatever the percentage reduction...

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR WARNER: ...in potential property. It simply isn't going to work that way uniformly across the state. You look at those numbers, urban areas will have relatively little, if you...and certainly not the statewide average, relatively little, if you exempt inventory. Some areas outstate will have significant residential reduction, but they already have a lower levy, they already have a lower levy than the statewide average or the urban area. You can make a very logical argument should it be reduced even more on that residential, when they already are low. I offered it only so that we can...when I sit and listen to these radio talk shows and I hear the mass of misinformation, of misunderstanding the citizens of this state have had thrown at them as to the impact of putting personal property tax back on the rolls...

PRESIDENT MOUL: Time.

SENATOR WARNER: ...it just simply makes no sense, and in someway it needed to be clarified. It becomes even more skewed if you could more accurately do it on a governmental subdivision by subdivision, which is virtually impossible. But it shows you even on a county-by-county basis those statewide figures will mislead you rather than clarify.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Warner. Senator Schimek.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Madam President, I call the question.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Are there sufficient seconds for the motion to cease debate? We will now vote on the motion to call the question. All those in favor please vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Please record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 2 nays to cease debate, Madam President.

PRESIDENT MOUL: We have ceased debate. I will recognize Senator Lamb for closing.

SENATOR LAMB: Well, Madam Chair and members, thank you. I