

are all trying to achieve the same end. I would urge again that you oppose this amendment to the committee amendments.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Hall. Senator Kristensen.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members. Senator Hall, if I am not confused in what I just heard you say is that we want to keep the historical and what is currently in the law. Nowhere in Article VIII is the word "rational" test used. Well, no, I am just telling you, the historical perspective is not to have that terminology in there. It is your amendment that adds that additional test to it, and I guess that is where I am confused about when you talk about the historical perspective, I think the court has a historical perspective and a test they have been using. And it is without the word "rational" test in the Constitution, one of the reasons thus, I guess, I follow the arguments of Senator Landis and would choose to vote and support that. Now, with that, I would yield any other time I have...Mr. Speaker, are there any other lights on?

SPEAKER BAACK: Yes, there are.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: I would just waive the rest of my time. Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Kristensen. The next speaker is Senator Peterson. Are you calling the question? Senator Peterson calls the question. Do I see five hands? I heard him. I see five hands. We will now proceed to vote on ceasing debate. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 18 ayes, 9 nays, Mr. President, to cease debate.

SPEAKER BAACK: Debate does not cease. The next light I have on is Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members. Again, I rise in opposition to this amendment. I think that it is somewhat an illustration of what this whole special session is about, and that is to continue to weaken the Constitution of the state as it was originally intended, first with the uniformity clause that we strip as it relates to personal property because, frankly, we don't like what the court has said to us, that you can no longer allow for exemptions to personal property. The