

July 16, 1991

LR 1

as it was discussed by Senator Landis with regard to the different forms of taxation on page 7. So in other words, in the amendment, it would be items 1, items 3, and then a portion of item 4, all of which deal with the striking of the term "rational." I would like to have that separated out and dealt with secondly, and the other provisions that deal with the striking of the types of taxations and inserting "any method" dealt with first. I have no problem with the first provision. It is the issue of the striking of "rational" that I would like to debate, and I would, rather than try to defeat the entire amendment, just split the issue because I think it is clearly divisible.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Landis, would you like to comment on the division?

SENATOR LANDIS: Certainly. I do think that there is a rational distinction between the two under Sections 1 and 3 of the amendment, isolate number 2. For just a personal note, since I have an obligation at twelve, if there is anyway that we could get to the questions, perhaps taking the least controversial, which I think is Section 2, and act on it first, if that would be appropriate with Senator Hall, and then take the "rational" one, that second...

SPEAKER BAACK: That is what Senator Hall has requested.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...and I can do some work between now and twelve. Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: To me, it appears that it is clearly divisible and we will take it up in that order. The Clerk would like clarification from Senator Hall.

CLERK: Senator, so I understand, items 1 and 3 and all of 4 or a portion of 4 should be considered as one amendment.

SENATOR HALL: No, just the portion of line...or the portion on line 4, the very last portion, where it says on page 9, the last, where it says in line 17 strike "rational".

CLERK: That is included with items 1...

SENATOR HALL: And 3.