

Chambers, not...I'm sure you've got your time. I'd be interested in your response of how you define exclusive because I think that that's very, very crucial. The courts probably are going to define exclusive as a primary use. And I think thus you don't need what you're doing anyway. But let's say they take a real strict view, then you're going to have event, after event, after event where someone will complain and demand the assessor to go out. And the assessor is going to be placed in a real box on how to determine what exclusive use is.

SPEAKER BAACK: Time.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: And I think it's fraught with problems that I don't think are out there. And I think Senator Chambers' point is well taken, but I don't think there is a problem there to solve. Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Kristensen. Senator Chambers, your light is next.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, I have listened to the discussion, but I have in mind something more sinister than what has been discussed here. I'm not talking about the possible use of pasture land as a parking lot for a couple of days. But I've watched the way ConAgra has caused us to skew our entire taxing system. How a powerful special interest group can overrule, not only a Legis...I meant a county board, or a local city council or village board, but the entire Legislature, since what Senator Warner and others have talked about relates to a zoning law, and what the county determines would fall within that zone, since all of the other matters that they've discussed are statutory and not constitutional, it means that a powerful special interest group could come in here and have what they call a campus, like ConAgra has in Omaha. And we would define that by statute as an agricultural or horticultural use, and even though they use it to make maybe billions of dollars in income, it would be taxed at the preferential agricultural level. And you all may say that is not going to happen. But we have not been confronted with the taxing set of circumstances that we have here today. I think if you present to the public a proposed constitutional amendment that allows ConAgra to get out of paying taxes altogether, which we would be allowed to do, and dump it all on the small people who caught it in the slats with LB 775, then you're not going to pass any constitutional amendment in terms