

the word...

SPEAKER BAACK: Time.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...exclusive, which is a tough standard to meet, and runs a risk of placing legitimate operations in some element of danger of changing their valuations unfairly.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Landis. Senator Wesely, you're next. Oh, you're not...you don't want to speak on this. Okay. Senator Kristensen, on the Chambers amendment to the committee amendments.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the body. Senator Chambers, if I could ask you just a couple of quick questions...

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I think...oh, I think...

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: ...so I understand...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I think what you mean is you want quick answers from me.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: No, I'd rather have you surrender, but I don't...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: I assume you didn't rise to do that either. If you had a use, let's say it's a partial use, and you were able to identify and parcel out, let's say that you've got 100 acres and you can identify that ten acres were not used under what we would call agricultural use, you would then value those ten acres differently than you would the remaining 90?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Are you asking me that as a question?

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Yes, that is a question. That's how you would...that's how the...that would be the penalty for having something that wasn't exclusive, if you could identify numbers of acres or a specific identifiable piece of ground.