

SENATOR LYNCH: I would respectfully like the opportunity to overrule the Chair's decision.

SPEAKER BAACK: You...

SENATOR LYNCH: I...if we're dealing with matters of public safety, would it be all right if we cranked in an amendment on safe sex, as well? Where do we draw the line with...? If safety, in fact, is the argument as it applies to the germaneness, then I think we can deal with safety issues and I can think of a number of amendments we could crank into this bill as well that deal with public safety, and there's a full range of those. I would respectfully suggest that they are two separate issues; that the way the amendment was originally written, obviously, had a conflict because of the fine. The way this amendment was written and just passed out and not published in the Journal clears that issue up. However, there is another section of it that has to do with the repealer clause. I'm not sure what that means. To, at the twelfth hour, throw in this kind of an amendment without too much understanding, germane or not, is, first of all, basically I think unfortunate, but I should also suggest that if (laugh) riding a motorcycle, riding a car, riding a moped, riding a bicycle, riding a tractor, riding in an airplane, whatever it might be, why not all of those safety factors be considered as safety issues as well? And if they are and we're going to be concerned with safety, I guess I might just as well be concerned with all of the ranges of those issues that apply to safety. That's a very, in my opinion, respectfully suggest if your opinion is based on the safety issue, very loose interpretation of germaneness. I would ask the body to overrule the decision of the Chair about its germaneness.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Lynch, if I might explain my ruling, it had nothing to do with the safety issue at all.

SENATOR LYNCH: Okay.

SPEAKER BAACK: Absolutely nothing to do with the safety issue. Both of these, all of the sections of both the amendment and the sections of the bill all fall under the sections of the rules of the road in statute and that's what my ruling was based on. It had nothing to do with the safety issue. On overruling the Chair, Senator Chambers, did you wish to speak on overruling the