

violation will only be one \$25 fine, just like the seat belt law. It's one of those things that, simply, we'll have our penalties and our fines for not wearing a motorcycle helmet the same as for not wearing a seat belt, and if they want to change them both to 50, that's fine with me. I think they should be the same. I think...I think that I've always viewed the things as similar issues. I think they should be tied together and so that's why I introduced the amendment. But I also introduced the amendment, I want to make it very clear, and I don't know if someone after me will accuse me of trying to sneak something in and confuse the body, I'll make it very clear, by adopting my amendment you wed these two issues together in state statute and in LB 958 and if, indeed, there is some movement afoot to try and repeal LB 958, like there was in 1986, these two issues would be tied together and that's...I don't have a problem, I think they should be. I think if you're going to vote one of them out, you ought to vote them both out and it's that clear. And I don't know if this enhances or discourages a petition drive. That is not my intent. But if it does happen, the two issues would be tied together, as I think they should be. Because I think it would be a travesty if this body were to once again pass LB 958 in this form and if, once again, the public in the State of Nebraska got somewhat upset at our judgment and started a petition drive, a referendum petition drive to repeal 958. So, once again, for the second time, the people in the State of Nebraska would have voted out a seat belt law while the whole time, once again, because motorcyclists happen to be a very small minority, they were left untouched. And so, though it's not...the main intent of my amendment is to get the two together. If there would be once again a repeal effort, the two issues would indeed be tied together as I think they should be and, in my own opinion, I think 958, if there is a repeal movement to repeal that, I probably...I was surprised last time the seat belt law was repealed. I'll be surprised if it happened again. And so my amendment is brought forward in good faith. The two issues are tied together and you make the small amendments, if you make the penalty the same, I would certainly never again be introducing helmet laws in this body because there'd be no need to because my major problem I've always had is to have one and not the other. Now they would be tied together in statute and if, indeed, the public were so mad about seat belts, they'd have to repeal the motorcycle helmet law as well and if that's what they want to do, that's what they want to do, their destiny should be tied together. So, with that, I'd ask for adoption of the amendment.