

CLERK: 21 ayes, 11 nays to cease debate, Mr. President.

SENATOR CONWAY: Debate is not ceased. We will move on to Senator Cudaback.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Mr. Speaker and members of the body, Senator Withem has explained this very well. He knows this issue frontwards and backwards. He stated here that it won't benefit everybody. Some will lose this year and some will gain next year. This year maybe my district gains, and next year maybe it is your district, your district or your district will gain from this. Who knows? This is more fair. It doesn't prolong it three or four years. It is the immediate year, one year. I think in all fairness to the districts that grow rapidly, maybe your district will grow more rapidly next year. I think this is more fair and I urge your support for this amendment. Senator Withem has done a good job. He is trying to be fair with us all. Thank you.

SENATOR CONWAY: Thank you, Senator Cudaback. Senator Schmit, you are next.

SENATOR SCHMIT: I would like to ask a question of Senator Schrock, if he is here, but since he is not here, perhaps Senator Withem can answer. Senator Withem, do you know if these numbers that we have been provided by Senator Schrock take into account the changes in valuation as a result of the passage of LB 1063, (interruption).

SENATOR WITHEM: Bingo, Senator Schmit, they absolutely do not. The only thing these take into consideration is this specific amendment. There are numerous other factors and you have identified one, that will certainly be impacted by this bill.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Is there any way that we can determine if there is any, I guess, damage control that can be taken as of this time in some areas where they might suffer accumulative adverse impacts, such as Lexington, which has the problem of increased growth, rapid growth, and probably will also experience additional valuation and then, as a result of that, have an even greater adverse impact than what is presently projected? Is there any way we can calculate that at all?

SENATOR WITHEM: Senator Schmit, when we debated LB 1063, there were printouts that came around dealing with the potential