

the gentleman there, the Dalai Lama, and he talked a little bit about his philosophy. And how he said he basically operated how he thought the individuals, countries, interglobal situations should work is that you always concentrated on those things you could agree on, and you forgot about the things that you couldn't disagree...that you had to disagree about. If countries, individuals, different parties to different issues would sit down and say let's forget about all the disagreement, we know what we can't talk about, so let's don't talk about them, let's talk about some of the things we can talk about, let's talk about the things that we agree upon. And if we have found some point here of agreement, that's a starting place. And that's important, and to me that's very progressive, and that would mean that we have done something meaningful, that we actually have made some kind of an accomplishment. So, I would, at this point, probably join Senator Landis in saying this is something we might look at supporting, that we have some type of a consensus and agreement, that what was considered opposite sides perhaps could be on the same side in looking at issues that we...an issue here that we agree on, and that's prevention, and looking at how it affects abortion. So, I would be interested to hear what some of the other members of the body, who have presently not spoken to this issue today, for whatever reason, would like to hear some input from them, and would encourage them to address this, at this particular time, to have a little more input from the body on how they feel on this particular amendment is going and some discussion and some justification from them and how they feel about it. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Hillman. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, members of the Legislature, I disagree with and oppose the amendment being offered jointly by Senators Lindsay and Landis, and I'll tell you why. I look at LB 78 as truly a bad bill. I think it's unfair, unjust, and oppressive toward women. So, let me draw an analogy and liken it to a torture chamber. What was attempted by the Bernard-Stevens amendment was to say we're going to dismantle this torture chamber, and in its place we're going to erect a university, to which is attached a hospital and a child care center. That's what we've been working on, replacing the torture chamber, which is negative, with something which is positive. Senators Landis and Lindsay have said, by their amendment, well, we're not going to dismantle the torture chamber, but we'll construct, over here, a gazebo where we can