

have to ask you a question, and it's right on the point of this amendment. And I'll ask it as you approach your seat...your microphone. Is one of the means by which this racetrack is to be made successful in terms of generating revenue, is one of the means of generating that revenue admissions, the number of people who will attend the track, forgetting that they're going to wager.

SENATOR WILL: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If you don't have very many people who come to the track, that diminishes the likelihood that this is going to be a successful operation, would you agree?

SENATOR WILL: Absolutely.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If you give a person an incentive to try to increase the attendance, are they more likely to seek the...to increase the attendance than if you don't give them an incentive?

SENATOR WILL: Theoretically, yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now, what grade or incentive could there be then to say that your compensation will depend on how many people you persuade to come to the track?

SENATOR WILL: If that's your...if you're sole motivation is to make the person get more people come to the track, then there is no greater incentive.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. If this amendment were adopted, would there be an incentive to get more people to come to the track?

SENATOR WILL: With or without the amendment, there's that incentive.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But with the amendment, is there a greater incentive?

SENATOR WILL: Well, it would certainly remain, I don't know if it would be greater or not.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If you were given two ways to be compensated, and one of them were taken away, would you have a greater