

knowledgeable in this area of law as it is possible to be and certainly basing their decisions upon previous decisions of the Supreme Court. And then since the creation of the new Appeals Court it was felt that that would be the better process, rather than going to the Supreme Court would be to appeal then from the three-judge panel to the Appeals Court. So we have more processes I believe in place for a discovery of the facts, the right decision to be made by the judges and certainly should go a long ways to expedite the process on the behalf of the injured party. So with that I would encourage the adoption of AM3382.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Coordsen. You've heard the closing on the amendment. We will now vote on the Coordsen amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the adoption of Senator Coordsen's amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: The amendment is adopted. Next amendment.

CLERK: Mr. President, now return to Senator Lindsay's amendment, AM3582, Senator.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Lindsay.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Could I ask the Clerk to read back whatever I said the first time and we can handle this. (laughter)

SPEAKER BAACK: This is a de novo kind of thing.

SENATOR LINDSAY: This is a de novo, okay. I wish I had written that out. This...

SPEAKER BAACK: It wasn't that good.

SENATOR LINDSAY: It's not going to get any better either. This is AM3582 which, as I mentioned the first time we handled this, was that AM3522 is what it was substituted for. AM3522 appears in the Journal at page 1414. AM3582, the only difference between the two is that 3582 is drafted to the Coordsen amendment which is probably part of the reason we should have caught it last time. The...in a nutshell, what is it does is it, just to repeat what I said, it allows for the payment of or the court to order payment of attorney's fees, the penalty