

complaining right now about paying property taxes, real estate taxes to have their garbage collected. It's not an issue. The only issue arises is when bureaucrats want to put in place a garbage collection fee over and above to dispose of this waste. And the reason they want to do it is because they want to supplement the budget. It's that simple. There's no other way around it. That's all it amounts to. It's very easy in terms of a line item on the city budget when you deal with the bids and the contracts that are let for this purpose to spell out just what it's costing, and you could probably even break that down on a per household basis. The funny thing is is that Tom is absolutely right, it's going to be the people in Ernie's district and in my district that are the last ones to receive the service...

SPEAKER BAACK PRESIDING

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR HALL: ...as they oftentimes are now in terms of whether it be street repair or snow removal or garbage collection, although I think they do a great job in terms of garbage collection and snow removal at present. But there's things like bridge replacement that doesn't absolutely go according to need so much as political persuasion. Now it's going to be an issue that says, well, we're going to put this in place to guarantee somehow that all that is going to change? That if you add new dollars, a collection fee to the system that miraculously that pecking order is going to change? I think not. I think all you're going to do is add an additional cost to those individuals who are currently paying to have this collected. Currently, it's being paid for by property taxes.

SPEAKER BAACK: Time.

SENATOR HALL: Currently, the system is working. There is no need to change it.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Hall. Senator Robak.

SENATOR ROBAK: Call the question.

SPEAKER BAACK: There's a call of the question. Do I see sufficient seconds? I do. We will now vote on ceasing debate. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. We are voting on