

is not an expert with respect to anything that he's writing about. I would like to ask Senator Kristensen a question. Senator Kristensen, did you mention that a bill similar to this had had an injunction put against it in...

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Montana.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, now that was a federal district court?

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do you know whether there have been any other district courts that took a contrary opinion on legislation such as this?

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Montana, to my knowledge, is the only other state that has legislation that is similar to this.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So we only have one federal district court opinion, as far as we know, on this legislation, saying that preemption exists.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Permission to issue an injunction to prohibit the carrying out of the law.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right, because of preemption. Is that the basis of the court's decision?

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Is the language of that bill identical to the language in this bill?

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: It is substantially the same.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But it's not identical.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: The green copy versus the green copy, that's not...in fairness, that's not the amendments that they may propose, but I'm not...I can't deal with those, I can deal with the green sheet because that's the copy that gets pulled from committee.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And that's what I'm asking you. Have you looked at the language of the...and this is not trickery, have