

SPEAKER BAACK PRESIDING

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Hillman. Before I go to the next speaker, I would like to introduce a special guest of Senator Labeledz. It's her granddaughter, Amy McReynolds. She's under the south balcony. Would you please stand and be welcomed by the Legislature. It's good to have you with us. Senator Schmit. Mr. Clerk, an amendment to the amendment.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Moore and Bernard-Stevens would move to amend Senator Pirsch's amendment. (Read amendment FA312 as found on page 1422 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: Mr. Speaker, as I had mentioned when I talked about this amendment, I encouraged if the body wanted to do this what they ought to do is defeat this amendment and go onto the next one. I didn't realize the next amendment changed other portion of the bill and took money out of domestic abuse. I didn't realize that one. I thought it just solved the problem for this year. As I mentioned, this entity, there is a problem and there's not enough money, they've spent all the money for this year because they've done a very good job of fulfilling the program there and the thought is that there is a problem for this year. If you really want to solve the problem for this year, just appropriate the \$125,000 for the fiscal year we are now in and then do not appropriate the money for the fiscal year of next year, because then we can make a decision next year as to whether or not we really want to increase that and whether or not it's really necessary, because at this time we do not know whether that money is needed for the second fiscal year. We do know there is a shortage of funds for the fiscal year we are now in. So what this amendment would simply do would just strike the \$125,000 for the second year but would leave in Senator Pirsch's amendment, \$125,000 for '91-92 fiscal year. And so, given that, if there's any balance of my time, that's what the amendment does, that's what I thought Senator Pirsch's next amendment was, but since it's not, we can do it this way. I would encourage the body to adopt this amendment and then if you want to move the bill, that's fine. And I will probably still vote against Senator Pirsch's amendment. I think this is a more defensible number and it will solve the problem for the fiscal year we are now in, in the cheapest possible way. So, with that, you can give the balance of my time to Senator