

to draft appropriately revenue changes which are not easy to do. I ask that the reconsideration motion be voted, that you allow us to put the amendment into the form in which we wanted it to be, which you basically endorsed yesterday, which we all intended with the majority that acted yesterday to accomplish to do what we set out to accomplish appropriately, to put the bill back into that shape and then to conduct the rest of our debate on 1063 and to allow the opponents to continue in their list of amendments and their list of objections. Senator Elmer is right here, let him take my microphone and I'll give him a minute.

SENATOR ELMER: Thank you very much Senator Landis. After conferring with Senator Kristensen and some bill drafters we have concluded as it is put on the desk with several stricken words in it is in its proper form. The confusion has been because the collection fee measures the number of tonnage as charged is a wholesale level. There was some language in the...

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR ELMER: ...statute that protected being billed for twice if a dealer took two ingredients the tax had already been paid on, mixed them together and sold them to another dealer for another resale which was why those words were in the collection fee for inspection on fertilizers. If that were applied to the retail level where you're selling retail, when it says except custom blended fertilizers, almost no \$4.00 per ton fee would be collected. That is why those words have to be stricken. It's been agreed upon by the bill drafters and Senator Kristensen and the administration. And I believe that the way the amendment is now on the desk being reconsidered, it's in that form. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator. Senator Haberman. Senator Haberman. Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Madam President, members, I rise to oppose the motion to reconsider. The proposal as I remember it, the Lindsay amendment contained nothing of the collection fee in it. It dealt with, and this is the sales tax collection fee, it dealt with the fertilizer tax. Yet it behooved the movers of this motion to go ahead and put that portion of the package together. There is still at least a \$5 million gap in this bill with regard to what it raises and what it expends and I think that needs to be filled here. What I hear second hand is that