

change as well, though how much it is I don't know, but it's one of those things that I have said time and time again, if you're going to force 1063 down the throat of agriculture, I don't know why agriculture has to come up with the money for the sales tax exemption. On the other hand, if you're going to try and pursue the path of good tax policy and pursue the ongoing march toward exempting personal property, I think it is reasonable to ask the agriculture sector to pay a small portion of it. Senator Hall's amendment does do that. It does extract something from the agriculture sector if that is a mission that many in this body are on. But it's one of those things I'm anxious to see, is...are the supporters of 1063 willing to wink away what is arguably a chance in a decade to impose some sort of limited tax on services, are they willing to wink away what is probably but may well be the chance of a decade to institute some sort of general homestead exemption all for the price of exempting personal property? It's one of those things that obviously if I was in their shoes I would do it differently. Only time will tell...

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR MOORE: ...what it is they choose to do, but it's one of those things that, yes, it's late at night, the body is not willing to adjourn, I need to take a close look at this and just not blow it off with another amendment to 1063. It's one of those what is literally a chance in a decade for many people that have long pursued these policies.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Moore. Senator Crosby.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Madam Chairman and members, I've been listening again for the last three, four days. I've taken a lot of notes and I do transcribe them so I can make sense out of them at the end of the day. And one thing that comes through to me loud and clear with the explanations that patient Senator Warner has given us time and again and Senator Withem and others as to why 1063 is needed. We keep losing sight of that, I think, as we get into all of these various amendments and trying to go back 20 years or 30 years and undo what was done. But this particular amendment I am giving it a close look as all of you advised me to do. Senator Moore mentions districts that have what I'm sure he means only homeowners or predominantly homeowners, I doubt that there's any districts in the state that are actually that close to being 100 percent homeowners. I