

March 9, 1992

LB 1063

about it. The facts are that we can do something about it. The facts are you have a 200-plus page amendment which most of us have not really pursued very carefully and we are accepting someone else's definition of what it does. It's very, very poor policy and I think we will live to regret it. I ask for permission to withdraw the amendment.

PRESIDENT MOUL: The amendment is withdrawn. Further amendments, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Madam President, the next amendment I have is by Senator Schmit, AM3330. Senator, is this...

SENATOR SCHMIT: (Mike not activated immediately.) ...to 3299 for that if it's okay with you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: If there are no objections, it is so ordered.

CLERK: Madam President, 3299 is an amendment by Senators Moore and Hall.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: I will defer to Senator Hall for the explanation of the amendment.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Madam President and members, thank you, Senator Schmit, for the opportunity to substitute. The amendment, AM3299, is the one that has been handed out to you. It's approximately 130 pages. There has also been an explanation sheet that has been handed out to you with that. At the top, it says LB 1063 amendment, AM3299. This is a version of LB 1120 or some variation on that theme that deals with the complete exemption or removal from tax of all personal property. It is then a subsequent distribution of state funds that are raised through a general homestead exemption. That cost would be approximately \$90 million and the money would be raised as follows. There would be a removal of sales tax exemptions in the areas of repair, labor. There would be one-half of the exemption removed, so, in other words, a state level 2.5 cents of sales tax would be paid on energy used in manufacturing with \$100,000 cap. There would be a permanent LB 829 collection fee provision at \$6 7 million. There would be the sales tax of some