

that up though, we're absolutely wrong, we're coercing the body, that we're no more coercing the body than what we already are trying to do. I mean, I think we're coercing the body when we failed to adopt Senator Hall's amendment. We're coercing, not the body, the public, by voting for that amendment and it is no more unreasonable to say they're all there than it is MAPCO because the big four were all that were at issue there. And Senator Elmer brings forth the amendment that I think lays it out very plainly, and oh, don't talk about... don't try and lead people to believe that may be what happens, because that's probably what is going to happen. And when you read that decision, you read the statement that almost all personal property must be taxed, you read the decision, it says, nobody asked us, it's not been at issue and no federal court has ever found it being a problem. Then you read the Shanahan dissent, it is very obvious that it all is at issue and that if somebody challenged it, I don't know who is going to challenge a court's, a church's exemption, but if somebody does, given what is laid out in Enron, was laid out in MAPCO, it's got to go too as does the 775 exemptions. That's why the Constitution must be changed is to keep all those things protected. And... I didn't say that, Senator Hall. Many will argue that and that's what infuriates me is that and to make sure that we can sell this to the public and since a small minority of the public is involved in agriculture, we have to go around, beat the drum and say agriculture is going to pay some out of this bill. And for those reasons, that is why I've been adamant, I continue to be adamant and I will continue to fight this because there is no reason you have to do this. And Senator Elmer is absolutely right...

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR MOORE: ...if you want to listen to MAPCO, if you want to paint the picture clearly to people, you need to adopt Senator Elmer's amendment because it's all at risk. And all of a sudden when it's all at risk, the situation and the voting public in this state changes dramatically, it changes dramatically, the situation in this body changes dramatically. And we don't know, but why do you want to solve this problem very quickly, very quickly I might add when we don't know if we can exempt it all, we don't know if these things are taxed, we want to brush it under the rug, change the Constitution and back when we were for changing the Constitution to keep the status quo, I supported it and I will again today. We're changing the