

February 27, 1992 LB 1120

SENATOR ASHFORD: Yes.

SENATOR PIRSCH: What other part of 1063 did you put back in?

SENATOR ASHFORD: All of 1063 is in.

SENATOR PIRSCH: All of 1063. In your opinion, Senator Ashford, okay, so that would then also be the limitation, the cap on the subdivisions of government?

SENATOR ASHFORD: Yes.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Is that correct? So, and by putting the personal property tax back on, that will still put some kind of lid on the benefit that the local subdivisions can have.

SENATOR ASHFORD: Yes.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Okay, so that's the silver candlestick that we started out with and now we have added to it the vase and the sugar and creamer and all of the others, and are strictly looking at exemption of sales tax with countering the adding the services. And, you know, I voted against that and I haven't spoken on this issue, but I think it's about time I did. When you put a tax on the point of sale of business equipment, whether it's agriculture or other, that's a one-time tax and actually it's the people who pay for that tax. They pay for that tax for the business because they benefit from the business and they will be contributing to that one-time tax on equipment. But the services that the equipment is used in the course of use will be taxed again and again and benefit the state time and time again directly by the people who pay the tax, who use that service, and this is over and over again as opposed to the one-time sales tax on equipment. And I guess my objection is you make many more businesses tax collectors. In the Schellpeper amendment, we are reducing...

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR PIRSCH: ...the tax collection fee and I know that was one thing that my businesses objected to more than anything in 829 with the fact that their collection fee costs them more than their personal property tax on their business equipment. We'll make many more businesses tax collectors. And, again, a shift to services, and I think it has been mentioned before, causes