

February 21, 1992 LB 1120

and was an opponent of progressivity on that contact stay, proponent of progressivity in this context and yet finds me hypocritical for being on both sides and to think that I'm going to cause panic in the street with this amendment is something that I can't bear. I am going to ask to withdraw the amendment. I would like to close on the other hand before I make that request by saying that this debate has highlighted problems that this bill now has. They have gone uncontested by the opponents of this amendment. No one has said, oh, no, no, no, we've got enough revenue in this bill. No one has said, oh, no, no, no, we do not have a problem with a 4-R challenge with this language, in fact, we'll be able to succeed in federal court. Those are apparent on the face of 1120, but I am an opponent and there is no desire here on my part to make this bill with this kind of an amendment do things that the proponents do not want to have should it become law and I would ask to withdraw the amendment, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER BAACK: It is withdrawn. Next amendment, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: The next amendment I had was from Senator Withem. Senator, I have a note you wish to withdraw AM2953.

SENATOR WITHEM: Yes.

SPEAKER BAACK: It is withdrawn.

CLERK: The next amendment, Mr. President...Senator Withem, actually the next two, if I'm not mistaken, Senator, AM2967 as well as AM2965 you wish to withdraw?

SENATOR WITHEM: Yes, I'd withdraw those.

SPEAKER BAACK: They are withdrawn.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Withem would move to amend. I now have AM2966, Senator.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Withem.

SENATOR WITHEM: Yes, Mr. Speaker, members of the body, AM2966 is on page 883 in the Journal, strikes the number "30" in the Standing Committee amendment and inserts the number "20". What that deals with is the issue of distribution of the replacement revenue that is coming back from raising all of the revenue that