

there are many of the same arguments. I mean I have read in the paper and I have heard speeches by several supporters of 1063 that one of the good things about it is it makes the...and the railroads have always said it makes the railroad pay taxes, as well as other centrally assessed entities. That is what has been used as a major selling point of it. And it was held out, at one point in time, that some railroad...a railroad company that's been in the paper, Union Pacific is going to pay \$12 million in taxes. That's what it was sold as, and UP's accountants went through everything and looked at the bill. They're only going to pay 5 million, that's even if they pay it, then they'll take it to court, and they'll probably get out of it anyway. And so Senator Withem, he says you should vote this out because it may be unfairly...it would get us down the path that we've already done. Well, I mean, the 3-R plan is going to do that, too. And the 4-R Act, the 4-R Act litigation is going to happen on that bill, too. And so I'll reread that argument as well. And Senator Kristensen claims that if we do this, depreciation as a concept will no longer be useful to a businessman. I guess I don't know, unfortunately, I don't deal in any sort of business number that big. But on the other hand, if passing this bill is going to make you no longer want to depreciate things on your federal income tax, I have to argue with you on that. I don't think that's going to happen, because you're not talking about the same dollar amount. The dollar amounts are extremely different. You're not going to...the tax is not going to be so onerous that you no longer have any desire to depreciate things on your federal tax form. Is it a change? Yes. But obviously the 3-R plan and the 1063 is a drastic change after 20 years. And some would argue the court is going to make us do that. Well the court is not going to make us do that, the court is making us do something, it's certainly not saying we have to make that change. And as far as...as I already said, as far as a concern, I guess I don't think it's as fair a concern as you can argue on 1063 about not treating things the same and future litigation in items like that. But it's just one of those things, whether it's \$23 million, or \$30 million, I think it's...we all understand that as we work through this process on this bill and others that we are dealing with estimates that are always somewhat, they're educated guesses, maybe somewhat less than scientific, we don't know how much it's going to be. And the question the body has to ask itself at some point in time, we can spend a number of days bidding up on the tax side. But the question you have to ask yourself, and Senator Withem is very fair when he points it out,