

SENATOR CHIZEK: Well, I think that everybody understands the amendment. It was an attempt to try and get some agreement. It was an attempt to balance the rights of privacy with the protection of our children. I think everybody knows what is in the amendment and I would ask your support for the amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Chizek. You've heard the closing on the amendment offered by Senator Chizek. We will now vote on that amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 31 ayes, 1 nay, Mr. President, on adoption of Senator Chizek's amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: The amendment is adopted.

CLERK: Mr. President, I do have another amendment. However, I do have a priority motion. Senator Bernard-Stevens would move to bracket LB 522 until March 14, 1992.

SPEAKER BAACK: Before we proceed, Senator Bernard-Stevens, I would like to introduce some guests of the Legislature. They are guests of Senator Warner and they are in the south balcony. We have nine students from the Villa Marie School with their teacher. Would you please stand and be welcomed by the Legislature. Thank you for being with us. Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Mr. President and senators, and particularly Senator Dierks, this is not a hard...this is not what I would call the old typical bracket motion to the end of the session so it effectively kills the bill. That is not the intention of the bracket motion. What the intention of the bracket motion is the following. In fact, our sine die is April 14th, I bracketed, if the body would choose to go along, we'd bracket this bill until March 14th, which would be one month before the adjournment. If by that time, Senator Chizek says, what Senator Chizek says is a possibility that might happen, which my information tells me it is not, but assuming, for that matter, it is true, and if Senator Dierks is correct that there could in some way be a change at the FBI level, let's wait and see if the change is made. If the change is made so that they will allow the background check without fingerprints, then we should move the bill. If the change is not made, we should not