action when a transfer...or, excuse me, a challenge of a will or challenging a conservatorship, that type of a thing, and it mentions people who have some inherent interest in the outcome. Those people would include the individual himself or herself, the patient; would include the person who is named as the attorney in fact, would include a conservator, a guardian that's already been appointed, would include some relatives. And I think the one that Senator Landis has a concern about is the last one that he mentioned, that is the phrase is "any interested person". And when we talk about the term "any interested person", that is a...it's a legal term of art. It has definitions as...excuse me, it has limitations as defined by the common law and as developed in case law under our probate code. An interested person cannot be, again, if I have a power of attorney, Senator Landis could not come in and challenge that. He does not have any interest in the case. However, if Senator Landis could show that, with some sort of extrinsic evidence, that I had discussed this with him, I was ready, I was trying to just find a form and could have some clear and convincing evidence, for example, that I had intended that he make these decisions, he may be interested. He may have an interest in the outcome. Those are types of fact questions that are determined by a trier of fact. They do not and it is not intended to open up the doors of the courtroom to any...any groups that may seek to stop some type of action as being sought by the attorney in fact under the power granted in the power of attorney. That is not the intent. The intent is only to make sure that there is the protection of the court system, which, of course, why a court system is there is to provide protection in situations where the court ought to intervene. It's not intended to open up the floodgates. It's not intended to open up the courtroom door so all sorts of groups interested in...in stopping activities, they must show some interest in the case. Hopefully, I have been somewhat helpful in answering those questions. Be happy to answer any other questions that would come up.

SPEAKER BAACK PRESIDING

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Lindsay. Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you. And perhaps John and I can enter into a continuation of this dialogue because his answers have been very helpful and on point. Let me reiterate for John and for the body that it's my intention to vote for these amendments