

legislation. And, again, I still have difficulty with what Senator Lindsay was talking about. I don't think that people should be alarmed about having their fingerprints on record because, if you have nothing to hide, there's no reason to be ashamed of that. I guess that I don't and mine have been on record for lots of years and I suspect there are others of you in here who have too. I have difficulty understanding the philosophy that says we shouldn't do that. I don't particularly care for intrusions of government either, but I think that those things have already happened. I think you'd be all surprised at how many things that people on the national scene know about you and your finances. They do this through credit cards, through your telephone number when you put that on the credit card. They have a way of knowing what size shoe you wear and how many people are in your family and they have information on you you wouldn't believe. This is known nationally and internationally, and they find out more every day and it all goes into these data banks, so a little fingerprint isn't going to make that much difference. I do object to the amendment and I hope you will too. Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Dierks. Senator Robak.

SENATOR ROBAK: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just a comment to Senator Dierks about fingerprinting. I, too, am fingerprinted and it was harmless. It was very harmless. I am also a temporary employee for the federal government and to get my job I had to be fingerprinted and they are on record in Washington. And I don't know if all federal employees have to be fingerprinted or not, but I was. I travelled throughout the country and sometimes overseas and it didn't hurt at all, and if you'd have nothing to be ashamed of, I see no reason for being afraid of being fingerprinted. Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Robak. Senator Horgan.

SENATOR HORGAN: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would rise in support of Senator Ashford's amendment. I think it addresses a very important part of the bill and that part is the part of the "noncertificated" employees who are dealing with children and specifically deals with school bus drivers, which I think is...should be the main target of this problem. They are, in my opinion, the most likely to cause damage to our children. They're in a responsible position. They're driving school buses. We don't know what their backgrounds are. Yesterday, at