

should be as equitable as possible. The court may very well say our tax system is not equitable and it needs to be changed. There is not a court that would say you are going to have to refund \$1.2 billion. That is not justice, that is not equitable, that is not fair. That serves no good. It serves no good purpose. That will not happen and that is a banner that is being waved on this issue. That is the only banner that is being waved on this issue. That, and we need to protect the system that we have out there. I would rather see the system fall to protect what is out there. That, I think, is a more responsible approach to this issue than to rush to judgment on a constitutional change all predicated on speculation about what the Supreme Court may or may not do...

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR HALL: ...on a case that hasn't had oral arguments yet. We do not need to be here at this point. We do not need to have LR 186 passed.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Hall. Senator Robak. Senator Robak.

SENATOR ROBAK: Call the question.

PRESIDENT MOUL: I have a motion to call the question. Do I see sufficient seconds? I do. We'll now vote on the motion to cease debate. All those in favor please vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted on the motion to call the question? Please record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 3 nays, Madam President, to cease debate.

PRESIDENT MOUL: We have ceased debate. Senator Schmit, for closing.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Madam Chairman and members, I do appreciate the comments that have been made on my proposal. I really would feel a little more relaxed, I suppose, following the leadership of Senator Landis on these issues if he had had one single successful revenue bill that had been able to sustain court challenges in the last five or six years. I do not recall one. I understand his deep concern and he's a lawyer. He understands it better than I do, but I understand the role of success and you either win or you lose and if you lose six times in a row,