

brought, of which I am a co-sponsor, is a much more appropriate one to adopt at this point. And the key thing here to remember is that this applying to exemptions and exemptions are things that when we do them, we are choosing among taxpayers. We're saying that this group of taxpayers has less of a burden and consequently another group of taxpayers has a greater burden. And that's why whenever we enact an exemption, we are doing that and exemptions on the personal property side, simply to require 30 votes to know that we need to have somewhat of a super majority when we're asking taxpayers who are not personal property heavy to shoulder an additional burden, I think that's a very modest proposal and I would urge your adoption of the amendment to LR 186. Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Will. You've heard the closing on the motion to return to Select File for a specific amendment. All those in favor of the motion vote aye, opposed vote no. Have you all voted? Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk. A record vote has been requested.

CLERK: (Read record vote. See page 2934 of the Legislative Journal.) 17 ayes, 25 nays, on the motion to return, Mr. President,

SPEAKER BAACK: The motion fails.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Warner would move to return the bill for specific amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I'm going to...I'll make this fairly short. There is not a deep disagreement between myself and those who proposed the amendment just before that an extra majority ought to be the constitutional requirement for some tax changes. The difference is that I do not support selecting one area. Makes far more sense to me to recognize of course that across the board. You understand you can get 30 votes for an exemption, but with 25 votes you could impose a tax on somebody to make it up. Very easily that could be the case. We certainly have seen what had happened on 829, how difficult it was to find 33 people that were willing to vote for one form of new taxation. Much simpler to only have to have 25. I think the public deserves protection too once we take out the uniformity clause, but I repeat again,