

June 5, 1991

LB 829
LR 186

whatever they are, if it required 30 votes and I think as a matter of tax policy, long-term tax policy, and that's what we're talking about, we're not talking about LB 186 (sic) and how to get 40 votes tonight, we're talking about long-term tax policy as it will be in the Constitution. And if we are to have a super majority, then it ought to apply, it ought to apply to all revenue measures and appropriation measures.

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR WARNER: And I would hope that you would turn this one down and adopt the next one. The one thing I cannot urge too strongly is the need to enact both 186 and 829. Whether it's in special session or not is immaterial. But you have to have both. We've done nothing with 829 by itself. And I would hope that you would look not at what takes 40 votes tonight, but rather look at what is long-term good tax policy and there is no rationale, none, that you can single out tangible personal property to have a different kind of a vote than other revenue measures. As the amendment now stands with the 10-year review, you need, the public needs that protection because if we're going to shift to some other tax to make...

SPEAKER BAACK: Time.

SENATOR WARNER: ...the place of exempt property, you may well want 30 votes there to stop some other tax that you do not like. So I'd urge this amendment be rejected.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Warner. Senator Robak.

SENATOR ROBAK: I call the question.

SPEAKER BAACK: Do I see five hands? I do. We will now vote on ceasing debate. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 28 ayes, 1 nay to cease debate, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BAACK: Debate has ceased. Senator Lindsay, would you like to close on your motion to return.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members, I think the issue is pretty much laid out. We debated this last week, extensive debate this evening. That was tongue in cheek, I've