

June 3, 1991

LB 579, 579A

says time does not permit us to undertake an analysis of whether LB 579 creates an executive state office which would require a two-thirds majority vote for passage and refers to Summerville v. Johnson and Mekota v. State Board of Equalization and Assessment. So, with that, I think that we should be very careful before we vote for LB 579 and I will be voting against it. And, with that, I will withdraw my indefinitely postpone motion.

SPEAKER BAACK PRESIDING

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Pirsch. The first speaker is Senator Conway. She withdrew? It is withdrawn. The next item, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Well, Mr. President, I have a motion to suspend the rules offered by Speaker Baack so as to permit the Final Reading consideration of LB 579 tonight, inasmuch as LB 579A has been returned and amendments adopted.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Conway, would you handle that motion, please.

SENATOR CONWAY: And what was the motion?

SPEAKER BAACK: To suspend the rules.

SENATOR CONWAY: To suspend the rules so they can be read this evening?

CLERK: Suspend the rule, Senator, that requires you can't read the main bill until the A bill, but you have to read them together. We're going to read them separately.

SENATOR CONWAY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and members, caught me a little off guard in terms of procedure. I assume, by virtue of the fact that LB 579A has also been amended to include the dollars for the special election that that would be the justification for going ahead with 579, knowing that is pending and probably is not back down yet is the situation. So I would move that the rules be suspended to go ahead and read this bill and then I will add to that a little bit because there is some discussion relative to 579 in some of these...and I think it was all couched with the idea of casting some...some doubts and shadows on 579 to cause some people to possibly get a little bit