

pass the implementation language that puts in statute the authority to give half to the Governor and half to the education, we don't necessarily need to change statute every year, you can do it through some sort of...it would strictly be an appropriation function at that point in time, or do you want us to pass a bill every year?

SENATOR HALL: It would be my intent, as the constitutional amendment is drafted, that we would appropriate on an annual basis, but it is not mandatory. It says may annually. It would not be the intent to appropriate dollars that are not yet in. In other words, the fund would be established. It would be built up, and then the appropriations would be made on a case by case basis.

SENATOR MOORE: But strictly a year in arrears, and you say you'd collect the money, and then next year pay it out?

SENATOR HALL: Correct, correct.

SENATOR MOORE: Well, I guess at that...you may not like my amendment. I am saying that is not the normal way we do things even though it is not necessarily bad policy.

SENATOR HALL: This is not a normal fund. I don't think we would make lottery revenue projections part of the forecasting boards responsibility.

SENATOR MOORE: It would be your opinion that we would not ever spend lottery money until it is cold hard cash in hand.

SENATOR HALL: That is correct.

SENATOR MOORE: Well, I guess given those two scenarios, the first part of my...you said you didn't have a problem with that, I would...maybe you don't like the first...

SENATOR HALL: No, I have no...because it still doesn't hinder, I think, my intent when you strike annually.

SENATOR MOORE: Okay, well, with that...

SENATOR HALL: Because there is no limitation in there, then.

SENATOR MOORE: ...I will continue to ask for adoption of my