

or pledge funds from the lottery account in any future year. Reading that literally, I guess I'm wondering, I don't think you could appropriate any money for the next biennium or the second year of the biennium. I don't know if that was the intent or not but, with Senator Hall's concurrence, I would just strike the whole sentence and it would remove any concern about what was and was not meant, particularly since you are putting something in the Constitution. So with that, I'd ask for adoption of the amendment.

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you, Senator Moore. Let me preview our speakers in order, Senator Warner, Moore, Crosby, Pirsch, Smith, Hall, Withem, Will, and Beutler. Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Again, Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I'd like to ask Senator Hall a couple of questions. I want to ask two, one on the proposed amendment, but I need to know the answer to the other one.

SENATOR LANDIS: Senator Hall, would you yield to a question?

SENATOR HALL: Yes.

SENATOR WARNER: In the sentence prior to that, lines 14 and 15, where it says approved by a majority of the members of the Legislature, is that intended, and as I have heard you speak, I've sort of got the impression, is that intended to not permit the Office of Governor to veto, that the appropriation ends with the Legislature? Starts and ends.

SENATOR HALL: Well, Senator Warner, there is no prohibition, I guess, none of the sections of the articles in the Constitution that we amend prevent a bill that is introduced on the lottery funds to be treated any differently than any other bill, I guess, that is introduced before the Legislature, and that would allow for the Governor to, I guess, exercise his veto. I have nothing in there that would prevent that from taking place, and I don't know...I know we don't clearly deal with any other limitation on the Legislature with these three sections or articles of the Constitution. So my purpose would be to allow for the bill to be treated like every other bill that goes through the Legislature, and it would be approved by a majority of the members of the Legislature, and then it would have to, I guess, be addressed by the Governor as a bill that he would have to approve, and that does, in essence, run contrary to, you