

SENATOR WARNER: That's a possibility, but it also would make a great deal of sense, if that is how it works, because now we tend to add exemptions now and then without ever looking at the cumulative effect. This would allow us to look at the cumulative effect of all of them at one time.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: And I think that would make a big difference to me as to whether I want to vote for those sorts of things because, if I do it every 10 years from date of enactment, I'm going to have a real piecemeal effect, and it should be able to pick you off one at a time. If you do this, then you've got set anniversaries when you have to do it. My problem is I have to now shift gears to, Senator Lynch, to decide if I want to change those dates forward, and I'm not sure, Senator Lynch, I've just got the other figured out. I'm not sure I have any questions that I can do. Could you answer me, is your understanding basically what Senator Warner's is, if we adopt yours, is that your basic understanding of how that would work as well?

SENATOR LYNCH: It's the way I understand it.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: And instead of having 2002, you'd have 1994.

SENATOR LYNCH: Everything in 1994 would terminate that has been in existence for at least 10 years or more.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: So, if we, in the 1992 session, let's say the 3-R Committee comes back to us and has this group of exemptions, we have a knock-down, drag-out next year...

SENATOR LYNCH: No, then that would extend for 10 years, the way my amendment reads.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Okay, because that wasn't in effect in 1984.

SENATOR LYNCH: That's right, that's right.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Okay.

SENATOR LYNCH: And I do that...go ahead, I'm sorry.