

amount of that is represented by automobiles. We're looking at that right now. We should not...Mr. Speaker, could I get a...could I get a gavel, please? (Gavel.) Thank you. The amendment needs to take a look at the depreciation surcharge and whether or not a large portion of that applies to automobiles. If it does, if it's any figure that would be in excess of \$2 million, then we ought to...we ought to exempt autos and find a different funding source or accept the fact that we can't pay for that. The only other thing that I would look at in relation to the amendment is the issue of the exemptions on the minimum corporate tax, the issue of whether or not it applies to not-for-profits and things of that nature. Other than that, I mean, the sales tax on manufacturing, if you want to pull that out, if you want to take that out, say that we don't want it to be on generators because they're just going to pass it on to the homeowner, I guess you could argue that on any tax. And if you pull that out, fine, pull it out, make the decision on finding a replacement for it or make the decision that you're going to let it be picked up by homeowners. I guess it doesn't matter to me, you know, if you don't fund it with something else, you're going to have the homeowner pick it up either through property tax which they have very little control over, or energy use which they have a lot of control over. And I don't have a problem with it being on the energy use side. In some cases, some individuals don't have a lot of control over that, but an individual home I think most of us do. Those are the only things that I would look at at this time, specifically though the depreciation surcharge as it relates to autos and, secondly, whether or not we shouldn't exempt out 501C3s and I think C5s would be the...

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: And if we did take something out, Senator Hall, you would make sure that we get back up to that 93 million approximately then.

SENATOR HALL: I would sure try. Now, I don't guarantee anything, "Stosh" (phonetic), but I would sure try.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Well, I think if we don't, we're going to have to have...the homeowner is going to pick up the difference and I think that it's better to do it on something else than it is on to maybe that type. I think most of us need to realize that this is only a short-term solution. It's probably as good as we're going to find. I still like the sales and the income tax that I had the first amendment on this bill but I think it's