

bill was up, about some of those industry specific...

SPEAKER BAACK PRESIDING

SPEAKER BAACK: One minute.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: ...dangers, and I would again just point that out. Other than that, Senator Dierks, I think there's some very good things in your plan that I find attractive. Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Kristensen. Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members. Again I rise in opposition to the Dierks' proposal. Clearly, it is not on some of the specifics, because some of the specifics, frankly, are incorporated in the proposal to follow, the depreciation surcharge is clearly a part of the proposal that we talked about and drafted, and it will come up next. The sales tax collection fee was...is very likely a part of that proposal. The issue of corporate income tax is included. It only represents, though, about 10 million instead of fully half, as the Dierks proposal would have it do. And it only is reflected in a...basically a superbracket for the corporate income tax. Again, there are a number of technical problems with the amendment. I mean that just happens in drafting when you get into these kinds of proposals. It's not an attack on the amendment, it just is a...it's a real problem. The whole issue, I think, revolves around, as we left General File the last time, was where do we get that balance? In other words, where do we get the other 40 to 45 million dollars, and Senator Dierks' choice is the corporate income tax. And that's really what is the difference here, in essence, between the two proposals, except for the industry specific tax on the interstate long distance telephone call. So you've got a couple additional components here that cause problems. And I mean...And we spent two days debating whether or not we could get the surcharge and the sales tax collection fee in there, and finally we're able to do that. Senator Lamb's amendment was put in there with a number of industry specific proposals, and we went to the drawing board and tried to pull those out, and that amendment is up next. It has some detractors in it, or some detractors to it, I guess, more appropriately. And that will be debated, and I hope debated very openly and honest, because again we get back to really only having a proposal that has a 40 to 45 million hole