

that is correct. But, in any event, for the purposes of this legislation I would have to oppose the Chambers amendment, but acknowledge the importance of the debate. And maybe it's debate for another day on another bill. But for those reasons I would have to urge the...that we vote no on the Chambers amendment. Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Ashford. Senator Hefner, did you wish to address...Okay. Senator Crosby, did you wish to address the Chambers amendment? Okay. Senator Schellpeper. Senator Schellpeper, did you wish to address the Chambers amendment?

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members. Yes, I rise in opposition to Senator Chambers' amendment. I think we already have federal law that supersedes what Senator Chambers is really trying to do. And I think all we're going to accomplish with this amendment is just to muddy the waters even more. So I think with this amendment it's something that...it's good and probably needs to be done. But I think the federal laws take care of that. So, with that, I would oppose the Chambers amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Schellpeper. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Speaker, are there any other lights?

SPEAKER BAACK: No, there are not.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then this will be my closing.

SPEAKER BAACK: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Members of the Legislature, the reason I'm doing this is that we have had a lot of discussion of the Constitution and various rights that are deemed to be affected by this legislation, even in its watered down form. And since we've raised the discussion to the level of constitutional rights, I think there is a very serious issue with reference to the rights of self-defense. That is a right which is not bestowed by a law, it is not bestowed by the Constitution, it is a right a person has by virtue of being a human being, and a Constitution merely protects that right from being taken away by the state. I don't believe there is a court in the land that