

couple of things. We no longer, we're also talking about scenic river which isn't what we're calling this any more. In part I think Senator Lamb changes the name because it was getting too tangled up with the federal scenic river designation, but we said...started referring to it as protected stream and that became somewhat the nomenclature of what we're talking about. And then we went on or in the first section we talk about particular environments that possess outstanding remarkable scenic, recreational, geological, fish and wildlife, historic, cultural and other similar values and which should be preserved and protected, so we're really talking about preserving and protecting a waterway and the immediate environments around that waterway. And granted, several of those items in there are naturally in the domain of the Game and Parks Commission, but we do have others in there that are typically not in their arena and if you go on to the final pages of that amendment where we talk about the duties of the Game and Parks Commission which you'll find in state statute 2-1507, and get towards the middle of those directions in terms of what we do, we say that the Game and Parks Commission in number sub 4, that they are to secure the cooperation and the assistance of the United States and any of its agencies and of agencies in this state and in the work of such subdivisions. They're charged with cooperating with and I would think that it would be a natural extension of the Natural Resources Commission, that when there is wildlife and/or park and/or recreational aspects that is one of the principles by which they're functioning with respect to a protected waterway that they are charged in statute to go those subdivisions, go to the Game and Parks Commission and solicit their cooperation and involvement in those areas. I think it also behooves them as they are working in this area to go to the agricultural community and go to the irrigators if, in fact, any plan would affect them in one way or another. That really is part of the charge that they have in state statute already is to integrate, coordinate, bring together the various subdivisions who have specific interests but to coordinate an overall comprehensive plan because if you look at sub 6 in their charge, it says to plan, develop and promote the implementation of comprehensive programs of resource development, conservation and utilization for the soil and water resources of this state in cooperation with other local state, federal agencies and organizations. That, really, I think and they're charge currently, puts this without question in their domain and that they would be the best place. Naturally and hopefully, the Game and Parks Commissions for those specific areas of interest would be brought in under