

particularly significant increases. I think, again, it's the symbolism. It's the idea that we continue to have tax policy that shifts the burden from those that are most able to afford the taxes to those that are least able to afford the taxes that people really object to. That's the bottom line concern that's being expressed and that's why this...this dog won't hunt and this proposal won't fly and I would support the Hall amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Wesely. The next speaker is Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and members, I guess mine is going to be a lonely voice here, from what I'm hearing. But I really...I really don't have any objections to this new proposal. You may remember yesterday in some of the testimony before the Revenue Committee there was the suggestion brought up that our current system of taxing motor vehicles may be unconstitutional simply because every 1966 Chevrolet is taxed at the same rate, same amount, no matter whether it's in good shape or in poor shape. So I guess, while the schedule could be adjusted perhaps if somebody...some people think it is unfair to a certain class of motor vehicles, I think in general going to this sort of system is better than the system we have now. And if there is a problem of unconstitutionality in our present system, I see no real reason for not changing it to a fee system, maybe not exactly the same fees that are in here but I guess I have no problem with the Governor's proposal.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Lamb. Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes, Mr. President, and members, I am going to rise in support of Senator Hall's amendment. Senator Hall, could you answer a question quickly or briefly?

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Hall, would you respond, please.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: You're simply saying that to take this out as a potential way to raise money we need to raise the money some other way rather than finding the money in this particular proposal, in addition to the idea of the way we tax the vehicles, right?

SENATOR HALL: Well, Senator Wehrbein, none of this money that would be...the additional money that would be raised with the fee schedule would not...could not be used for purposes of