

of things for education. We have been doing quite a bit for education lately. And so, I am going to support that amendment if that is exactly as she explained it to me what it is going to do. At this point, I am going to withdraw my amendment, though, and who knows? Maybe I will offer it again later if I see some other things happening here. Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Smith. Next speaker is Senator Labeledz.

CLERK: She withdrew the amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: The amendment is withdrawn.

CLERK: Mr. President, the next amendment to the committee amendments is by Senator Moore. (See AM1351 on page 1743 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: Mr. Speaker and members, no, I will not withdraw this amendment, Senator Lamb. What this amendment does, quite simply, if you care to follow along, if you look on page 23 of the bill, Section 22, the amendment would strike that section of the bill. And, basically, that section, I will just read the section to you and what I am striking. It says, "Revenue generated by the lottery games conducted pursuant to the State Lottery Act shall not be considered part of the total state revenue and shall not be included in any fiscal-year or biennium projected revenue estimates, and expenditures for lottery administration shall not be considered expenditures or expenses of state government." That is the section that I am striking in this amendment. Basically, what the purpose here is that my concern is this, if you pass the bill with that, with Section 22 in the bill, this Legislature will have no oversight whatsoever, certainly in my opinion, as to how that money is spent, regardless if you adopt the rest of the bill as whole, and the educational programs in the bill. It says that, that section says that, one, it is not revenue, and I agree with that portion of it. It is not being considered part of the revenue. It should not be part of the revenue forecasting stream. It should be separate there, but I guess the last sentence is causing more problems, where it says it shall not be considered expenditures of state government. I guess I would disagree with that because it is fine, as far as I am concerned, what Douglas County does