

going to be denied or granted, it seems redundant at this point to add this language back in. So, I guess, if you want to add it back in, you can, but I guess it's already been done for the three sites in Nebraska in those three proposed counties. Just doesn't seem necessary to me.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Rod Johnson. Senator Morrissey.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: Folks, we're not demanding that the committees look at this. It has been done, it is a lot of the process. Boyd County doesn't need to do it now, that's agreed, but Boyd County might drop, they might be out of the picture. They may come to your own county and can then you, as the only one of two or three, stand up and depend on this body to put that process back in for you? Has "Cap" Dierks been able to rely on this body to do the minor things that he asked to do on this monitoring process? Will you be able to rely on them when they come knocking on your door? Will you remember me saying this if and when it happens? Senator Crosby says she'll remember and I know she will, but I know they won't come to Lancaster County, folks, and we all know that because if they would, there would be like 10 or 15 people standing up and talking now and looking out for the citizens. But, unfortunately, it always happens to be a rural area doing poor economically. Across the country, by the way, another coincidence, across the country, poor rural areas is where they site all of these. Is that because the people are Republican basically? They are in Boyd County and Nemaha County too, Nuckolls County too; conservative, all three counties. Illinois, oh, it happens to be the same thing. Gee whiz. We're not demanding anything. We're allowing them to do it. We're not denying them to do it like 827 as it stands now does. LB 827, as it stands now, restricts their ability. It's not needed now, but it will be needed in the future if Boyd comes down. And what is needed? Site characterization and selection, the major portion of this process. So far we haven't had much success and I don't expect to have much success now because it's what the people want, it's what the people testified to in the hearing. The people, to the majority, probably 99 percent testified in favor of what Senator Dierks and I have tried to do today, made our case very well, we haven't been successful. But, folks, if you don't adopt this one, there has not been an argument made what harm can it do if it is not needed? What harm can it do? It can do no harm. I mean this is...I would