

stand up and explain that to me? Again, I'll thank the people that voted for the first amendment, I really appreciate it. And those of you that voted against it, or didn't vote, if you have an answer to why we should do this for US Ecology, or if you can refute what I'm saying and say I'm completely off base, please do. I ask you, I invite you, stand up and tell me where I'm wrong here. Tell me why I should support changing the law for US Ecology. Tell me why I should support assuming the risk of breaking the good faith for US Ecology. Tell me why I should change laws for US Ecology after they submitted a license application contrary to state law, and then tell me the reason that US Ecology changed that license application. Why did they submit it for only 2.5 or 6 million? Why did they do that? Please explain it to me, cause I'd like to have it explained. I have my own ideas, but I'd like to hear some of the ideas that might cause you folks...

PRESIDENT MOUL: One minute.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: ...to allow them to have this body change laws for them, contrary to the good of the citizens. Please support this amendment.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Morrissey. Senator Rod Johnson.

SENATOR R. JOHNSON: Senator Morrissey, Madam President and Senator Morrissey, as you might recall, and I hope...I only see Senator Beutler in the room, that was on the Natural Resources Committee, we talked at great length in the committee as we worked on LB 827 to try and find a way to protect ourselves, the State of Nebraska, from accepting waste from other states outside of our compact. If you read the bill, we give the Governor veto power. Some people have said, well, I'm not sure that's legal. We also decided...one of the ways we could attack that, if we can't out right say, we're not going to take any more waste from any other states, other than the ones in our compact, we have veto power through our commissioners, we're hoping the commissioners will defend us. Their credibility right now is not in the highest level right at this point in my mind, but we put in this bill, after great discussion, as those on the committee remember, this mechanism of lowering that volume down to 2.6 or basing the license application on that level, because we felt, once we reached that level, that was the level that was going to be generated...was approximated to be