

April 9, 1991

LB 425

us. Mr. Clerk, the next item on the bill.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Labeledz would move to amend. Senator, I have your AM1179 in front of me.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Labeledz.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As you recall, earlier today I had an amendment which contained some intent language which I withdrew because I did not want Senator Lynch's amendment to be the intent language. So what this amendment would do, and we discussed it at length this morning before lunch, and Senator Chambers agreed and Senator Lindsay and I and we talked to a few others, that this amendment would strike Section 1 in Senator Lynch's amendment 1125, and insert the entire amendment in Section 8 rather than in Section 1 which would give the impression that that was the intent language. And I strongly supported Senator Lynch's amendment and still do and appreciate the fact that it will be part of LB 425. And it would simply move the amendment to within the contents of the bill. And I'm proposing this amendment so it would not be the first section of the bill. It also changed the word "many" to "some". It's a modifier that can mean different things to each of us and it also eliminates the word "further" which is not necessary to the bill. I urge the adoption of the amendment.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Labeledz. For discussion on the amendment by Senator Labeledz, Senator Lindsay.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I will go back over, I think, what arose this morning. The Lynch amendment was offered. The Lynch amendment included with it or it was intent language for the bill. That language also made some policy decisions or made some policy questions that were not necessarily related to the LB 425 or not directly related to how it works but nonetheless valid policy decisions. The body adopted that amendment. Senator Labeledz then attempted to amend that amendment to include other intent language and that amendment was ruled nongermane. She later in the morning offered that amendment again and that's the one that Senator Chambers started to amend. We discussed that with Senator Chambers and decided that we would offer to the body an alternative which is rather than keeping the Lynch amendment at the front end of the bill where it becomes intent language for the remainder of the bill, we would take that bill and move it