

Hopefully, if the intent of the bill is to discourage a young woman from engaging in premarital sex, that information will help her make the judgment and, no, she is not going to do that. With that, Senator Elmer had indicated he wanted a little bit of my time. I hope there is some left for him.

SENATOR CONWAY: One minute.

SENATOR ELMER: Thank you, various titles individual. I'd rise to support this piece of amendment, or this amendment to this legislation. I have always felt that the answer to almost all of our social problems has been education, and one of the most disturbing things about this type of legislation is the reluctance of some individuals to tell young people what the actual reproductive potentials of their own bodies are, and the consequences of them. And I appreciate Senator Withem bringing the amendment. Thank you.

SENATOR CONWAY: Thank you, Senator. Senator Nelson.

SENATOR NELSON: Mr. Speaker, members of the body, I, too, support this amendment. It has always been troubling to me through the years and so on that, as Senator Withem said, after the girl is pregnant and so on, then we chastise her and we tell her you should have known this and you should have known that. I took a survey of my district not very long ago, a couple of years ago. Over 70 percent of the high school kids asked for more information. I think Senator Withem, and I do appreciate Senator Elmer's comments, too, so often, and this has really bothered me, we can't dare tell these kids the consequences of sexual intercourse could be pregnancy. No, don't let them know that. We may be telling them the wrong thing and so on. Kids are striving for more information. I believe today it is more available and so on than the days that I grew up. When I grew up, I knew absolutely nothing, and I think that with some education and let them know that there are consequences there, there are responsibilities, both for the male and the female, too, and it seems as though we do forget that. In Sections 3 and 4, I asked questions. I asked a question of my judge at home. Are cases not public? I know we talked about it this year. It is almost absolutely impossible, if you can imagine that 13 or 14-year-old girl going down to my judge. They say that the cases may be not answered. Tim Hall will go in just TH, so there is a small amount of secrecy there, but about 13 to 16 people know of the girl, and then when we go to the Supreme