

keeping a proper lookout? And, over time, as you look at these definitions, you start defining things over time and then in a matter of a few years you come to a common understanding of what's involved. And I think that that's what has to happen in this particular instance. Nobody can sit here this afternoon or the next few days or the next few weeks and define every possible situation that could come up. So we do the best we can. We make a reasonable rule and the rule is, basically, that the service has to have some relationship, some reasonable relationship to what is paid for the service. And with regard to that particular definition, Senator Chambers, I would...I would say in response to part of your concern that it certainly wasn't the intent of this amendment that a service rendered for which the payment was unreasonably less than what it was worth was intended to be prohibited. So I think you can eliminate that concern with the statement of intent. But I do think that it's not an area where you want to let it go without an attempt to define and make some reasonable rule. If you do that, you have the worst of all possible worlds. And nobody is going to be happy with this amendment. I'm not completely happy with it. But it seems to be the best we could do and I would certainly invite further refinement if somebody has reasonable ideas about how it can be further refined. Thank you.

SENATOR WARNER: Senator Schmit, followed by Senator Withem. Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Senator Beutler has stated that he is not completely happy with his amendment. If Senator Beutler is not completely happy with the amendment, then I do not know how anyone else can be happy with it, because I challenge anyone to tell me exactly the parameters that are covered by that amendment. I will tell you this, very frankly, there isn't...the least experienced member of this body will know if they are improperly approached with an honorarium, a gift, a compensation of any kind. You're going to know it, as will those of us who have been here longer. There is a broad spectrum of activity in this area. All of us have, from time to time, been on...been as a part of a program where many people are compensated with substantial amounts of money. As Senator Chambers has pointed out, I'm sure that he has many times been the star speaker when he was compensated for far less than other speakers were compensated. I guess the point I want to make is this. I do not want to place in the statute something which inadvertently entraps someone who, in the course of their