

March 18, 1991

L3 732

this caseload. I have reviewed the amendment. I see nothing wrong with it, and I would accept the amendment. I think it further clarifies what I have in the bill and I don't think it changes any of my intent at all. Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Kristensen. Senator Wickersham, did you wish to discuss the amendment further? Senator Wesely, did you wish to discuss the amendment?

SENATOR WESELY: Just briefly, Mr. Speaker. If Senator Wickersham would yield to a question, I guess I am wondering, Senator Wickersham, the intent here is not that when you talk about sites throughout the state, that would include Lincoln. And the intent would be the principal administrative office is in Lincoln, also cases would be heard in Lincoln, but the court would be, under the "shall" term, must hear arguments at other sites. Is that correct? I mean it doesn't preclude Lincoln hearings?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: No.

SENATOR WESELY: And then the "shall give primary consideration," when you say the term "primary", you are talking about not sole consideration. They obviously have to balance out the ability to go to different sites and all that, but one of the considerations and, perhaps, foremost would be being accessible to these litigants and counsel but other considerations have to be played into it as well, is that correct?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: That is correct.

SENATOR WESELY: Okay, thank you. Sounds reasonable.

SPEAKER BAACK: Thank you, Senator Wesely. Senator Chambers, do you wish to discuss the amendment?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, now that the preliminaries are out of the way, I would like to ask Senator Wickersham a couple of questions for clarification also. All of the language in the amendment, which would attach to some existing language in the bill, is mandatory, correct? Shall, not may.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: That is correct. Shall give primary